



Analytical Imagination: An Exploration of Imagination in Ancient and Contemporary Writings

Dr. Amjad Alshalan*

amalshalan@ksu.edu.sa

Abstract:

The paper examines the concept of imagination from a creative perspective, focusing on a central question concerning the author's level of control over the imaginative nature of his work. Using a comparative textual analysis approach, the discussion looks at specific writings to find similarities and differences in order to clarify the complex relationship between literature and imagination. The paper is organized into an introduction, two analytical subsections titled "Imagination" and "Analytical Imagination," and a conclusion. The introduction included a brief literature review as well as the methodological approach, which guided the main research questions about the relationships between literature and imagination and how those connections affect the interpretation process. It concludes with a reflection on the evolution of the Romantic notion of the poetic imagination in modern times (as envisioned by Pound and Beckett) and establishes a link between Aristotle's Mimesis and the concept of analytical imagination.

Keywords: Imagination, Analytical Imagination, Literary Creation, Literary Interpretation

* Assistant Professor of English Literature, Department of English, College of Language Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Cite this article as: Alshalan, Amjad. (2024). Analytical Imagination: An Exploration of Imagination in Ancient and Contemporary Writings', *Arts for Linguistic & Literary Studies*, 6(1): 608 -622.

© This material is published under the license of Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0), which allows the user to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format. It also allows adapting, transforming or adding to the material for any purpose, even commercially, as long as such modifications are highlighted and the material is credited to its author.



الخيال التحليلي: استكشاف الخيال في الكتابات القديمة والمعاصرة

د. أمجاد الشعلان*

amalshalan@ksu.edu.sa

ملخص:

يتناول البحث مفهوم الخيال من منظور إبداعي، مع التركيز على سؤال مركزي يتعلق بمستوى سيطرة المؤلف على الطبيعة الخيالية لعمله، ذلك باستخدام منهج التحليل النصي المقارن للبحث في كتابات محددة للعثور على أوجه التشابه والاختلاف من أجل توضيح العلاقة المعقدة بين الأدب والخيال. وتم تقسيمه إلى مقدمة وجزئين تحليليين تحت مسمى "الخيال" و"الخيال التحليلي" وخاتمة، حيث تضمنت المقدمة نبذة عن دراسات سابقة ومنهجية البحث والأسئلة التي تطرق إليها التحليل المتعلق بالصلة بين الأدب والخيال وكيف تؤثر هذه الروابط على عملية التفسير، وتوصل إلى جملة من النتائج منها عكس تطور الفكر الرومانتيكي للخيال الشعري في العصر الحديث (كما تصورها باوند وبيكيت) ووجود ترابط بين مفهوم أرسطو للخيال و مفهوم الخيال التحليلي في العصر الحديث.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الخيال، الخيال التحليلي، الإبداع الأدبي، التفسير الأدبي.

* أستاذ الأدب الإنجليزي المساعد - قسم اللغة الإنجليزية - كلية اللغات و علومها - جامعة الملك سعود، الرياض - المملكة العربية السعودية.

للاقتباس: الشعلان، أمجاد. (2024). الخيال التحليلي: استكشاف الخيال في الكتابات القديمة والمعاصرة، *الآداب للدراسات اللغوية والأدبية*، 6(1): 608-622.

© نُشر هذا البحث وفقاً لشروط الرخصة Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)، التي تسمح بنسخ البحث وتوزيعه ونقله بأي شكل من الأشكال، كما تسمح بتكييف البحث أو تحويله أو إضافته إليه لأي غرض كان، بما في ذلك الأغراض التجارية، شريطة نسبة العمل إلى صاحبه مع بيان أي تعديلات أُجريت عليه.



Introduction

Imagination has always been linked with the experience of rendering literature and is a crucial element in literary criticism since it relies on the ability to form mental images, concepts, and ideas that help readers interpret and analyze literary works. Imagining the details of a fictional world can also bring greater understanding to the subtle nuances of the text, which can ultimately inform the reader's interpretation. No matter how an author tries to paint the imagination of the reader, the reader is the one in control of painting the whole image of the literary text he or she reads on pages into his or her imagination. A simplified understanding of imagination can be simply translated into creating vivid mental images of characters and settings and making connections between various elements of a literary text that may not be immediately apparent. From such a perspective, the concept of 'analytical imagination' would help in shedding new light on imagination, going beyond its interpretive nature, and seeing how authors can systematize their process of creating an imaginative text. Instead of tackling its interpretive quality, this paper attempts to explore the creative nature of imagination from the author's point of view by using the concept of 'analytical imagination'.

In a more specific sense, the paper will focus on tackling imagination from a creative point of view by relying on two focal questions: how can the author control the imaginative nature of his work and how can imagination hold an interpretive quality inside a creative work? To tackle such questions, the paper intends on examining the process of writing a literary text from the viewpoint of various writers who address the creative expression of literature, such as Aristotle, Wordsworth, Coleridge, Pound, and Beckett. The examination aims to synthesize the understanding of both the creative process of literary composition and the interpretive quality of literary texts. The methodological analysis of the discussion follows a comparative textual approach. This method allows for the investigation of wide literary theoretical topics by attempting to explain the differences or similarities between two or more texts (Pickvance, 2001). It also entails investigating the fundamental assumptions and principles governing the nature and value of literature (Ipsen, 2009). Through the use of a comparative textual analysis approach, the discussion will look at specific writings to find similarities and



differences in order to clarify the complex relationship between literature and imagination. Using this method would facilitate a more profound comprehension of imagination in a literary context. The selected authors play a major role in establishing literary trends, so it would be beneficial to study their perspectives on imagination in order to establish the notion of "analytical imagination" within a literary framework. Studying literary imagination can lead to a number of issues and difficulties for researchers, particularly when it comes to deciphering the author's meaning behind imaginative elements and how readers understand and interpret them. But because the goal of this paper is to examine the concept of "analytical imagination," it reframes the debate in terms of how some writers approached the idea in order to show how imagination works within a creative process.

Some studies have examined the connection between literature and imagination, concentrating on the ideas of artistic fabrication, constructive activity, and mimesis-all of which are impacted by reason, emotions, and actual experiences. Marshall (1982) refers to the idea of mimesis as the main characteristic of literature to discuss the productive activity of imagination. Literature, according to Mooij (1993), is an imaginative work that defies reality, and writing well requires a balance between the author's creativity and mimesis. Imagination enables readers to engage in active reading of literature, which can foster introspection, empathy, and awareness (Koopman & Hakemulder, 2015). Some academics contend that there is a relationship between imagination, emotions, and reason in the writing process because of certain aspects of imagination (Pardales, 2002). According to other studies, mimesis -rather than imagination- is more crucial to the creation of literature because it helps to organize literature, create effective fictional simulations, and make connections between different facets of literary thought and human language. Aristotle's concept of catharsis gave rise to the idea of mimesis, which Oatley (1995) contends is essential to the creation of successful fictional catharsis because it stimulates readers' imaginations and causes them to undergo emotional and cognitive changes. By separating imagination from mimesis-which is under the control of the reader-Oatley (1995) establishes a clear distinction between the two. Riffaterree (1984) draws attention to this distinction by arguing that literary mimesis requires the reader to complete the sensory details of the mind by verifying the existence of an object outside the text. Reinert (2010) offers a



psychological perspective on mimesis by arguing that it is necessary to produce thrilling action as well as give it psychological and moral significance. By making mimesis the catalyst for creating new traditions, connecting material and symbolic identities, and establishing connections between images in memory and outside reality, Babuts (2011) expands the concept of mimesis into the domain of the cognitive part of the brain. Since imagination is a crucial term in literature and art and was strongly endorsed by British empiricism philosophy, which had figures like Hobbes, Locke, and Hume as proponents, this paper sees mimesis as an extension of imagination rather than as two distinct concepts. The idea of mimesis gained popularity thanks to Aristotelian doctrines that outlined the function of imagination in literature. It is the interpretive quality of imagination and its relationship to the author's intentions that are the main topics of the paper, which will be covered in the following sections.

Imagination

Imagination is one of the concepts or ideas that have received attention from various disciplines, emphasizing its multifaceted process that includes mental stimulation, mental imagery, and the ability to generate alternative scenarios. The psychological knowledge of imagination as a cognitive function is based on the observations of Lev Vygotsky and Jean Piaget. Vygotsky's theory emphasizes imagination and abstract thought as the sources of creative activity, while Piaget's constructivism proposes reflective abstraction as the mechanism for creativity (Kim, 2006). Based on an ontological perspective, the influential contemporary Greek-French philosopher Cornelius Castoriadis sees imagination as a creative force associated with subjectivity and autonomy within social and cultural contexts (Rundell, 2001). Northrop Frye (an influential literary theorist) views imagination through metamorphosis and metaphysics, which enable the creation of literary archetypes and symbols (Armand, 1975). It would be challenging to explain how imagination works within a literary framework; nevertheless, Adam Smith's (1976) account of how readers interpret the experiences of fictional characters offers one of the most concise explanations of how imagination works with literary texts. He writes: "By the imagination we place ourselves in his situation, we conceive ourselves enduring all the same torments [...] and become in some measure the same person with him, and thence form some idea of his sensations" (Smith, 1976, p 9). Given that imagination occupies a central space in the process of consuming



creative works, there is a sense of resonance between what Smith is discussing and what Gaston Bachelard (2014) addresses. In terms of the subject-object relationship, Bachelard commented on poets' ability to "help us discover" ourselves by creating "an extension of our intimate space" in what he calls a poetic image, which refers to the imagery produced by the imagination (Bachelard, 2014, p 216). According to Bachelard's investigation of the poetic image, the creative process between the reader and the writer is reciprocal. Bachelard indicates that the creative process is reciprocal between the reader and the writer (Bachelard, 2014, p 119).

The significance of imagination in the creation and reception of art is emphasized in Aristotle's *Poetics* (330 B.C.E/1996), a seminal work in literary theory. Marshall (1982) points out that when discussing the creative process, Aristotle's *Poetics* (330 B.C.E/1996) uses the term mimesis rather than 'imagination'. Malcolm Heath states in the introduction to *Poetics* that he chose to translate Aristotle's mimesis into 'imitation' while acknowledging that the chosen word does not fully reflect what Aristotle means because the original word encompasses representation, imitation, and imagination (Aristotle, 330 B.C.E/1996, p xiii). Imagination is the source of mimesis, which allows writers to replicate reality and create a convincing, emotionally charged portrayal. According to Aristotle, the production of fiction and the audience's engagement with it depend on the use of imagination (Aristotle, 330 B.C.E/1996, p 27). The writer must imaginatively portray human actions, emotions, and consequences within the confines of a well-written plot. He states that "the best recognition of all is that which arises out of the actual course of events, where the emotional impact is achieved through events that are probable" (Aristotle, 330 B.C.E/1996, p 27). Here, imagination includes the representation of universal truths about morality, human nature, and the state of humanity, as well as the invention of fantastical elements. He refers to this later as the "poetic effect, or idealisation of the truth" (Aristotle, 330 B.C.E/1996, p 27). In response, the audience appreciates the aesthetic and relates to the poetic portrayal through their creative abilities. Aristotle emphasizes the importance of catharsis, or emotional purging or cleansing, in tragedies "through pity and fear" (Aristotle, 330 B.C.E/1996, p10). Imagination generates a cathartic experience using the sympathetic bond between the characters and the audience. As his definition of tragedy emphasizes, viewers not only feel an emotional release that leads to a sense of emotional equilibrium, but they also



imaginatively participate in tragic events. In a sense, Aristotle's *Poetics* (330 B.C.E./1996) suggests a 'poetic syllogism' that takes into account aesthetic validity along with community and moral validity (Kemal, 1988).

Aristotle defined imagination, or "phantasia" in Greek, as the ability of the mind to form mental representations or images of objects that are not physically present. In his work *De anima* (On the Soul) (350 B.C.E./1930), Aristotle investigates the nature of the soul, including the faculty of imagination. O'Brien (1993) asserts that Aristotle's chapter on imagination in *De anima* (350 B.C.E./1930) is his most thorough examination of the nature and functions of the imagination, which is vital to the mind's ability to process sensory information. Aristotle distinguished two types of imagination in Chapter 3 of Book III: passive and active. Passive imagination is what happens when the mind uses its senses to take in information from the outside world. It is the capacity to retain and recall sensory experiences. When someone sees a tree and then imagines or recalls it, for instance, passive imagination plays a role in helping them recall the sensory image. Active imagination refers to the mind's ability to modify and blend sensory inputs to create new mental images. This kind of imagination is more inventive and allows for the synthesis of various sensory elements into unique and creative combinations. Active imagination is very beneficial to the creative process because it enables the mind to create representations that may not exactly match experiences in the real world. According to Kast (2014), active imagination is characterized by the formation of symbols and the creation of a fantasy life. It also entails the observation of inner images and communication with inner figures. The cognitive processes of learning, memory, and artistic expression, among others, depend on imagination, according to Aristotle (350 B.C.E./1930). It serves as a link between sensory perception and higher cognitive functions, aiding in the formation of concepts and the production of creative works such as art, literature, and other forms of expression. Imagination, for Aristotle (350 B.C.E./1930), is an integral part of the human psyche, connecting our sensory experiences with our capacity for intellectual and creative endeavors.



Analytical Imagination

Analytical imagination can be broadly defined as the cognitive capacity to process information critically and methodically, recognize patterns, and produce insights through careful analysis. The capacity to approach problems or information in a perceptive and creative manner is usually associated with this concept. Although the phrase "analytical imagination" may not be used frequently in scholarly works, the concept is consistent with more general talks about critical thinking, solving problems, and creative reasoning. Active imagination can be described as the analytical imagination's catalyst because it provides a distinct Jungian understanding of some types of countertransference that may activate the analyst's symbolic function and contribute to the mediation of emergent consciousness (Schaverien 2007). Academics in fields such as psychology, education, and philosophy frequently investigate the relationship between analytical and creative thinking, emphasizing the importance of combining logical analysis with original and creative thought. In contrast to just conjuring up images in the mind when reading a work of fiction, Hacker (2010) demonstrates the cognitive and creative nature of imagination when it comes to producing meaning. The important role that writers play in the process of crafting a literary experience is introduced by the scholarly investigation of imagination as a process that involves meaning-making and the analysis of written text into meaningful ideas. A term like "analytical imagination," which offers a more comprehensive understanding of the creative process, highlights the connection between writers and readers. The term "analytical imagination" refers to "a single process" that would represent the process through which authors and artists produce their works (Beckett 1983, 89). The process focuses on four figurative devices found in literature: allegory, symbolism, satire, and landscape. The formula's emphasis on the four figurative devices makes it a tool for writers to use when crafting a creative visual text that evokes a shared experience. It reflects our modern understanding of the visual nature of texts, as demonstrated by Elaine Pagels (1988), who states, "The text became a shimmering surface of symbols, inviting the spiritual adventurous to explore its hidden depths, to interpret the story by drawing upon their own inner experience-what artists call the creative imagination" (Pagels, 1988, p 64).



In general, the secondary imagination of William Coleridge offers an early perspective on the visual aspect of the authorial intention behind imagination. In **Biographia Literaria** (1817), Coleridge explores the role of imagination in poetry. He asserts that the process of imagination is transforming and aids poets in constructing a coherent image of a specific experience they wish to depict. He claims that imagination operates within two frameworks, one passive and the other active, reflecting the "mind's self-experience in the act of thinking" (Coleridge, 1817, Chapter VII). In doing so, he makes a distinction between two kinds of imagination: the "secondary" imagination, which is more analytical and reflective and "dissolves, diffuses, and dissipates to re-create," and the "primary" imagination, which is more spontaneous and creative and defined "as a repetition in the finite mind of the eternal act of creation in the infinite" (Coleridge, 1817, Chapter XIII). He contends that poetry requires both kinds of imagination, but he emphasizes the importance of the primary imagination. While both types are similar, they are not the same because the latter is conscious and the former is unconscious. However, according to Coleridge, the poet's secondary imagination is what enables them to depict the world freshly and distinctly. It is the poet's creative wellspring and enables them to make connections between seemingly unconnected ideas to produce a cohesive form of imagery. In **Lyrical Ballads** (1800), William Wordsworth famously states:

Poetry is the spontaneous overflow of powerful feelings; it takes its origin from emotion recollected in tranquilly; the emotion is contemplated till, by a species of reaction, the tranquilly gradually disappears, and an emotion, similar to that which was before the subject of contemplation, is gradually produced and does itself actually exist in the mind. (Wordsworth, 1800, Preface).

In his earlier remarks, Wordsworth expressed his conviction that poetry is intimately associated with feelings and that the imagination is essential to converting those feelings into creative expressions. Nevertheless, he too stresses the vital role of imagination in connecting and linking different feelings with ideas to create a new, unified object.

Wordsworth, Coleridge, and Aristotle all offer distinctive viewpoints on imagination that are influenced by their respective philosophical and historical



backgrounds. Aristotle's descriptions of imagination in his works *De anima* (350 B.C.E./1930) and *Poetics* (330 B.C.E/1996) involve the creation of mental images based on sensory experiences. He makes a distinction between active imagination, which modifies sensory impressions to create new mental images, and passive imagination, which absorbs sensory impressions. Aristotle emphasizes the use of imitation, or mimesis, in poetry and art, suggesting that the creator uses imagination to capture aspects of reality. Wordsworth, however, argued in favor of a broader and more sentimental interpretation of imagination. Wordsworth argued in favor of the poetic imagination in his **Lyrical Ballads** (1800), seeing it as a potent force that could raise common experiences to the sublime. He emphasized the connection between emotion, the natural world, and imagination, contending that the mind actively shapes and gives meaning to the world through imaginative processes. Working with Wordsworth on **Lyrical Ballads** (1800), Coleridge was a contemporary of Wordsworth and contributed to the development of the Romantic imagination theory. In **Biographia Literaria** (1817), Coleridge delves into the idea of the "primary" and "secondary" imaginations. The former refers to a creative force that is universal to all people, while the latter is the creative and transformative potential of the individual. Beyond mere imitation, Coleridge emphasizes the value of imagination in generating original and creative ideas. While Aristotle focuses on the cognitive aspects of imagination and its role in imitation, Wordsworth and Coleridge, who were influenced by Romantic ideals, emphasize imagination's creative and transformative abilities. Wordsworth regards imagination as a source of emotional and spiritual connection with nature, whereas Coleridge proposes a more nuanced understanding that encompasses both universal and individual dimensions of imaginative power.

In contrast to Wordsworth and Coleridge, Beckett's imagination is primarily visual rather than emotional, as evidenced by the four components he selected to form the basis of his "analytical imagination": satire, landscape, symbolism, and allegory. He does this by expanding on the Romantic notion that imagination is a process that unites disparate elements to create a new object. Allegory and symbol, in contrast to satire and landscape, are literary devices that can draw attention to the points where literary and artistic expressions converge. Allegory is defined as "the single series of imaginative transactions" marked by a "double entry," while satire is simply defined as a paradox that would emphasize the theme of the work through



an "imaginative fact" and landscape as a "stage" that holds the still life of the setting (Beckett, 1983, p 90). Despite how convoluted that statement may sound, Beckett starts the allegory section by denying the existence of an allegory and then provides an explanation and an example from Jack B. Yeats's work (an Irish painter and writer) to emphasize how the work can stand by itself. He clarifies that the story subtly employed the allegory, saying that "the island is not throttled into Ireland, nor the city into Dublin" (Beckett, 1983, p 90). He described artists as accountants, and his use of the terms "double entry," "credit" and "debit" expands on this idea by elaborating on the conventional definition of an allegory as a medium for artists. For Beckett, a symbol is what ties the other elements together because it catalyzes to weaving of a network of images with specific meanings together, or as "stages of an image." The relationship between the images is not hierarchical but progressive, as he clarifies: "The cream horse that carries Gilfoyle and the cream coach that carries Gilfoyle are related, not by the rule of three, as two values to a third, but directly, as stages of an image" (Beckett, 1983, p 90). Symbols in this kind of setting encourage "the adventurous spirituality to explore its hidden depths, to draw upon their own inner experience-what artists call the creative imagination—to interpret the story" (Pagels, 1988, p 64). To emphasize his "analytical imagination," he begins this paragraph with negation as well ("there is no symbol"), which renders his choice of negation more stylistic than an affirmation that there are no allegories, symbols, satires, or landscapes that exist on their own without the other elements.

Though not specifically developed by Beckett, poets frequently incorporate visual elements into their writing, such as symbolism, allegory, and landscape. Since Ezra Pound's Imagism movement is predicated on this method, he provides compelling evidence in support of this assertion. But rather than focusing only on the process of writing a literary work, Beckett's concept systematizes the way imagination works within a literary framework. By using the term 'Phanopoeia', Pound highlights the significance of visual language in poetry, as he defines it as the "throwing of an image on the mind's retina" (Pound, 1951, p 52). Drawing comparisons between Pound's Phanopoeia and Beckett's application of the analytical imagination emphasizes the distinctions between producing an accessible and an exclusive form of expression. The author of the image gains more authority thanks to Beckett's understanding of imagination; in a way, the image becomes the



author's intended object. When a subject shapes an object through his perception, the process of deriving meaning from it becomes individualized for the reader. Thus, the desire to possess a fixed meaning becomes 'insatiable': "So whatever the object, our thirst for possession is, by definition, insatiable. At the best, all that is realized in time (all time produces), whether art or life, can only be possessed successively, by a series of partial annexations, and never integrally and at once" (Beckett, 1978, p 7). What is described in **Proust** (1978) is similar to what Bachelard (2000) describes as the Prometheus complex. Bachelard explains the Prometheus complex as "tendencies which impel us to know as much as our fathers, more than our fathers, as much as our teachers, more than our teachers. [...] The Prometheus complex is the Oedipus complex of the life of the intellect. (Bechlard, 2000, p 12). Beckett's 'analytical imagination' indicates his familiarity with the interrelation of visuality within the textual nature of literary texts (see Knowlson, 1996). It is the symbolic opposition that allowed Beckett to view paintings and literary texts as a similar medium of visual creativity through what he calls 'analytical imagination'. Given that Beckett describes the process of writing a literary text as a "reduplication" in Proust, the visual nature of the four elements supports his claim that writing a literary text is akin to painting (Beckett, 1978, p 56). He clarifies that through this act of 'reduplication' (i.e., the process of transforming the object into an intellectual pretext), the experience becomes a direct perception of the object: "real without being merely actual" since it is imaginative and empirical simultaneously (Beckett, 1978, p 56). [It is empirical because it depends on the subject's perception of the senses as an 'intellectual pretext, 'and it is imaginative because it occurs in the subject's consciousness. According to Steadman (1998), the imagination contributes to the 'image-making' process in both literature and painting, functioning in Renaissance poetics as a complex and varied blend of historical or moral "fact" and free-form imagination.

Conclusion

Studying the use of imagination in literature is an intricate and fascinating subject. Imagination can be used in literature in a variety of ways, from analyzing how an author uses it to investigating how readers use their imaginations to interact with and interpret literature. Examining how the author uses devices like metaphor, symbolism, and imagery to create and construct meaning in their writing can be one way to do this. To shed some light on how writers "construct" their imaginations,



the paper tried to approach the subject of imagination from the perspective of the writer. Samuel Beckett was not the only writer to approach the concept of imagination from the perspective of other writers; Wordsworth and Pound, among others, also offered a sophisticated interpretation of imagination from a contemporary standpoint.

References

- Aristotle. (1930). *De anima* (J. A. Smith, Trans). Psychclassics. <https://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Aristotle/De-anima/index.htm> (Original work published ca. 350 B.C.E)
- Aristotle. (1996). *Poetics* (Malcolm Heath Trans.). Penguin Books. (Original work published ca. 330 B.C.E)
- Babuts, N. (2011). *Mimesis in a Cognitive Perspective: Mallarme, Flaubert, and Eminescu*. Routledge.
- Bachelard, G. (2000). *The Psychoanalysis of Fire*. Beacon.
- Bachelard, G. (2014). *The Poetics of Space*. Penguin Books.
- Beckett, S. (1978). *Proust*. Grove Press.
- Beckett, S. (1983). An imaginative work!. In R. Cohn (Ed.) *Disjecta: Miscellaneous Writings and a Dramatic Fragment* (pp. 89–90). John Calder.
- Coleridge, S. T. (1817). “Biographia Literaria.” Gutenberg. <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/6081/6081-h/6081-h.htm#link2HCH0013>
- Hacker, p (2010). *Human Nature: The Categorical Framework*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Ipsen, G. (2009) *The value of literature: the disparity between 'Practical Criticism' and 'Modern Literary Theory' with a case study of Thomas Hardy* [Doctoral thesis, University College London]. University of London Research Repository. <https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/17277/>
- Kast, V. (2014). Complexes and imagination. *The Journal of Analytical Psychology*, 59(5), 680–694. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5922.12113>
- Kemal, S. (1988). Medieval Arabic Poetics: Poetic Syllogism and Community in Avicenna’s Commentary on Aristotle’s Poetics. *Philosophy Research Archives*, 14(1), 20–122. <https://doi.org/10.5840/PRA1988/198914SUPPLEMENT35>
- Kiesselbach, D. (2012). *Salt Pier*. University of Pittsburgh Press.



- Kim, J. (2006). Piagetian and Vygotskian Perspectives on Creativity. *The International Journal of Creativity and Problem Solving*, 16(1), 25–38. [G704-000413.2006.16.1.008](https://doi.org/10.1002/G704-000413.2006.16.1.008)
- Knowlson, J. (1996). *Damned to Fame: The Life of Samuel Beckett*. Bloomsbury.
- Koopman, E. & Hakemulder, F. (2015). Effects of Literature on Empathy and Self-Reflection: A Theoretical-Empirical Framework. *Journal of Literary Theory*, 9(1), 79–111. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jlt-2015-0005>
- Marshall, D. G. (1982). Ideas and History: The Case of "Imagination." *Boundary 2*, 10(3), 343–359. <https://doi.org/10.2307/302801>
- Mooij, J. (1993). *Fictional Realities: The uses of literary imagination*. John Benjamin Publishing Company.
- Oatley, K. (1995). A taxonomy of the emotions of literary response and a theory of identification in fictional narrative. *Poetics*, 23(1-2), 53–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X\(94\)P4296-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-422X(94)P4296-S).
- O'Brien, J. (1993). Reasoning with the Senses: The Humanist Imagination. *South Central Review*, 10(2), 3-19. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3189996>
- Pagels, E. (1988). *Adam, Eve, and the Serpent*. Random House.
- Pardales, M. (2002). "So, How Did You Arrive at that Decision?" Connecting Moral Imagination and Moral Judgement. *Journal of Moral Education*, 31(4), 423–437. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305724022000029653>.
- Reinert, O. (2010). Ibsen and Mimesis. *Scandinavian Studies*, 82(2), 213–230. <https://doi.org/10.2307/40908164>.
- Pickvance, C. (2001). Four varieties of comparative analysis. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 16(1), 7–28. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011533211521>.
- Pound, E. (1951). *ABC of Reading*. Faber and Faber.
- Riffaterre, M. (1984). Intertextual Representation: On Mimesis as Interpretive Discourse. *Critical Inquiry*, 11(1), 141–162. <https://doi.org/10.1086/448279>
- Rundell, J. (2001). Imaginary Turns in Critical Theory: Imagining Subjects in Tension. *Critical Horizons*, 2(1), 61–92. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156851601750170007>.
- Schaverien, J. (2007). Countertransference as active imagination: imaginative experiences of the analyst. *The Journal of Analytical Psychology*, 52(4), 413–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1468-5922.2007.00674.X>.



- Smith, A. (1976). *The Theory of Moral Sentiments* (D.D. Raphael and A.L. Macfie Eds.). Indianapolis: Liberty Classics.
- Steadman, J. M. (1998). Image-Making in the Verbal and Visual Arts: A Renaissance Obsession. *Huntington Library Quarterly*, 61(1), 53–80. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3817622>
- St. Armand, B. L. (1975). A Superior Abstraction: Todorov on the Fantastic [Review of *The Fantastic: A Structural Approach to a Literary Genre*, by T. Todorov & R. Howard]. *NOVEL: A Forum on Fiction*, 8(3), 260–267. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1345108>
- Wordsworth, W. (1800). “Lyrical Ballads, with Other Poems, 1800, Volume 1.” Gutenberg. <https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/8905/pg8905.html>.

